BIOSYNTHESIS OF THE ANTIBIOTIC GRANATICIN: ASSIGNMENT OF THE CARBON-13 MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM

CARL E. SNIPES, CHING-JER CHANG and HEINZ G. FLOSS

Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy and Pharmacal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

ABSTRACT.—As part of a biosynthetic study using ¹³C-labeled precursors, the ¹³C-nmr spectrum of dihydrogranaticin methyl ester, prepared from the antibiotic granaticin, has been analyzed. The resonance signals were assigned based on comparison with model compounds and derivatives, proton single-frequency decoupling and ¹³C-¹H long-range coupling analysis.

The antibiotic granaticin (1a) has been isolated from a number of actinomycetes (1-3). It often co-occurs with the glycoside granaticin B (1b) and dihydrogranaticin (2a). The biosynthesis of granaticin in *Streptomyces violaceoruber* has been studied by use of radioactive and ¹³C-enriched precursors (4). The locations of enriched carbons in the product were determined by carbon-13 nmr spectroscopy following the complete assignments of the carbon-13 resonance signals. This paper reports the assignments of the cmr spectra of dihydrogranaticin methyl ester (2b), 3'-oxodihydrogranaticin methyl ester (3).



 $\begin{array}{c} 0H & 0 \\ \mathbf{2a}, R_1 = R_2 = H \\ \mathbf{2b}, R_1 = CH_3, R_2 = H \\ 627 \end{array}$

1.7

C02R1



RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Attempts to obtain the ¹³C natural abundance spectrum of granaticin were not entirely successful due to its strong intermolecular aggregation and instability in solution. Thus, for ¹³C analysis, **Ia** was chemically transformed into dihydrogranaticin methyl ester (**2b**) by catalytic hydrogenolysis. The chemical shifts for the aliphatic carbon signals can be directly assigned based on simple chemical shift theory (5, 6) and on a series of proton single-frequency decoupling experiments [$\delta_{\rm H}$ (irradiation): 0.99, 1.59, 3.79, 4.36, 5.21, 12.60 and 13.11 ppm]. In order to substantiate these resonance assignments, 3'-oxodihydrogranaticin methyl ester was prepared. The C_{2'} and C_{4'} signals undergo predictable downfield shifts (5, 7, 8, 9).



Further comparison with the ¹³C spectral data published for nanaomycin A (10) (4) reveals that the C_2 and C_4 resonance designations for nanaomycin A should be interchanged.

In the naphtharazin moiety, the quinone carbon resonances (C₆ and C₁₈) appear furthest downfield, followed by the two hydroquinone carbon signals (C₈ and C₁₁). The most upfield aromatic carbon peaks may be assigned to C₇ and C₁₂ because of the adjacent carbonyl groups and the shielding effect of the phenolic hydroxy groups. The 142.1 (doublet of triplet) and 162.9 (triplet) ppm peaks become a triplet and a doublet, respectively, when the H₁ (5.20 ppm) is irradiated, identifying them as the resonance signals of C₉ and C₈. Then the signals at 168.5, 144.5, and 136.2 ppm can be assigned to C₁₁, C₁₄, and C₁₀, respectively, by comparison with the chemical shift assignments of nanaomycin A.

In the proton single-frequency decoupled spectrum, the ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ splittings, called reduced coupling constants (Jred), are smaller than the actual coupling (J) (11, 12).

$$Jred \approx \Delta f_H \cdot J / \gamma H_2$$

where γH_2 is the intensity of the decoupling field, $\Delta f_H = f_H^{\text{resonance}} - f_H^{\text{irradiation}}$. The magnitude of Jred depends on the Δf_H at the constant decoupling power. We have earlier used the reduced long-range coupling patterns in the chemical shift determinations of sulfonamide drugs (13). A similar approach can also be applied to distinguish C_7 from C_{12} and C_6 from C_{13} in **2b**. In a series of proton single-frequency decoupled spectra, the C_7 and C_{12} signals display unique variations of the splitting patterns. The actual ¹³C–¹H three-bond coupling constants for C_7 –H₃ and C_{12} –H₁₁ are almost identical (ca. 5 Hz), but the reduced three-bond coupling constants vary because of the different Δf_H . Therefore the C_7 peak (110.0 ppm) appears as a doublet, whereas the C_{12} peak (110.2 ppm) is a singlet when the irradiation frequency is at 5.06 ppm (figure 1).



FIG. 1. ¹³C Spectra of the C₇, C₁₂, C₆ and C₁₃ Resonance Signals (A) Proton-Coupled; (B) Single-Frequency Proton-Decoupled at δH =3.90; (C) Single-Frequency Proton-Decoupled at δH =5.06.

The C₆ and C₁₃ signals can theoretically be differentiated by measuring the ¹³C⁻¹H long-range coupling patterns since C₆ can couple with two protons (H₄), while C₁₃ can couple with only one proton (H₁₅). Unfortunately, none of these splittings can be clearly measured in the proton-coupled spectrum (figure 1). However, the upfield portion of this broad peak becomes a sharper singlet when the H₁₅ (5.06 ppm) is irradiated. Thus the C₆ (174.9 ppm) and C₁₃ (174.8 ppm) signals can be distinguished.

JOURNAL OF NATURAL PRODUCTS



The chemical shifts of naphthoquinone and its hydroxy derivatives (14) indicate the dynamic equilibrium of the two tautomers (A and B) for 5,8-dihydroxynaphthoquinone. This equilibrium may be perturbed by acetylation of the hydroxy groups. A similar phenomenum has also been observed in the granatic system (table 1). It is interesting to note the unusual effect on the tautomeric

TABLE 1. Carbonyl carbon chemical shifts of granaticin derivatives.

	2a	3	lb
C_{13}	174.8 174.9 170.8	$ 180.0 \\ 182.9 \\ 170.7 $	$ 180.3 \\ 181.2 \\ 173.7 $

Table 2.	¹³ C Chemical shifts (δ) and ¹³ C– ¹ H splittings of methyl esters of
	3'-oxodihydrogranaticin (3).

Carbon No.	Compound 2 b	Compound 3
$\frac{1}{2}$	170.8, m 40.3, bt. 129.0 (H ₂)	170.7, dt, 7.0 (H_3), 3.5 (H_2) 40.2, bt, 129.3 (H_2)
3	63.0, bd, 144.1 (H ₃)	$63.1, dt, 150.5 (H_3), 5.0 (H_4)$
1	27.5, bt, 130.0 (H ₄)	27.3, bt, 130.0
6	1740.1, m	$141.7, 10, 8.0 (\Pi_4, \Pi_{15}), 4.0 (\Pi_3)$ 182 9 bs
ž	$110.0, d, 6.0 (H_s)$	$110.0, d, 2.4 (H_8)$
8	$162.9, t, 3.0 (H_8, H_{1^{\dagger}})$	154.0, dd, 4.3 (H ₈), 2.4 (H _{1'})
9	142.1, dt, 6.2 (H_{2R}), 3.1 (H_{8} , $H_{1'}$)	139.8, dt, 9.0 (H_{2R}), 4.0 (H_{8} , $H_{1'}$)
10	136.2, m	131.5, bs
11	108.0, m	$109.0, 0, 4.3 (H_{11})$
12	$170.2, 0, 5.0 (\Pi_{11})$	$111.5, 0, 2.5 (11_{11})$ 180 0 bs
15	144.5 m	146.9 m
15^{-1}	67.4, bd. 149.6 (H ₁₅)	67.3, bd, 148.9 (H ₁₅)
16	19.0, qd, 128.8 (H ₁₆), 4.3 (H ₁₅)	19.1, bq, 128.8 (H ₁₆)
17	$51.8, q, 147.1 (H_{17})$	$51.8, q, 147.1 (H_{17})$
1	61.8, bd, 160.2 $(H_{1'})$	$64.1, bd, 162.1 (H_{1'})$
21	35.6, bt, 132.8 (H _{2'})	$38.6, bt, 132.4 (H_{2'})$
3'	$(0.7, bd, 101.4 (H_{3}))$	190.6, bs
±' 51	80.4, 08 72.6 bd 150.2	72.0 bd 135.6
6'	$16.4 \circ 127.0$	$16.6 \circ 127.5$
9	10.1, 4, 10.10	10.0, 4, 11.0

equilibrium of the subtle intramolecular hydrogen-bonding alterations in 3. This change is manifested not only in the strong downfield shift of the C₆ and C₈ resonances ($\Delta \delta = 8.9$ and 5.2 ppm), but also by the large upfield shift of the C₉ and C₁₀ resonances ($\Delta \delta = -2.3$ and -4.7 ppm) (table 2).



After we completed our spectral assignments, we became aware of another, independent investigation of the cmr spectra of this class of compounds, (17) which arrives at assignments identical to ours.

EXPERIMENTAL¹

ISOLATION.—The isolation of granaticin (1a) and dihydrogranaticin (2a) from *Streptomyces violaceoruber* fermentations, the incorporation of sodium ¹³C-acetate into the latter, and chromatographic procedures have been described previously (4).

DIHYDROGRANATICIN METHYL ESTER (2b).—Dihydrogranaticin (2a) was converted to its methyl ester in quantitative yield by treatment with methanolic HCl as reported by Pyrek and coworkers (15). After evaporation of the solvent and removal of residual HCl under a stream of nitrogen gas, the residue was dissolved in a minimum volume of chloroform and precipitated with petroleum ether. It was characterized as follows: m.p. 185–189°; visible absorption, λ (log ϵ): 565 nm (shoulder), 550 (3.25), 518 (3.40), 490 (3.37); ir (KBr): 2.8–2.9 μ (OH), 5.7 (ester), 6.3 (quinone): ¹H-nmr (CDCl₃): δ 13.20 (s, H₃), 12.76 (s, H₁₁), 6.20 (s, OH), 5.21 (dd, H-1', $J_{1',2'}=1.5$ and 3.1 Hz), 5.06 (q, H-15, $J_{15,15}=6.6$ Hz), 4.36 (m, H-3), 4.00 (dd, H-3', $J_{3',2'F}=2.0$ Hz, $J_{3',2'F}=8.6$ Hz), 3.79 (q, H-5', $J_{5',6'}=6.2$ Hz), 3.75 (s, ester CH₃) 3.2–2.1 (multiplets for CH₂-2', 2, 4), 1.59 (d, CH₃-16, $J_{15,15}=6.6$ Hz), 0.99 (d, CH₃-6', $J_{5',6'}=6.2$ Hz); ms: m/e 461·(M+1)⁺ for C₂₅H₂₄O₁₆, MW = 460.14.

¹¹³C-nmr spectra were primarily recorded on a Jeol PFT-100 system interfaced to an EC-100 Fourier transform computer with 20K memory. ¹H-nmr and some of the ¹³C-nmr spectra were recorded on a Varian FT-80 system. CDCl₃ was used as the solvent, and chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from TMS for all nmr spectra. Mass spectra were recorded on a Dupont 21-492 BR mass spectrometer using chemical ionization with isobutane as ionizing gas. Uv-visible spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Coleman 124 instrument with chloroform as solvent. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman IR-33 spectrometer. Melting points were determined on a Fisher-Johns hot stage apparatus and are not corrected.

3'-OXODIHYDROGRANATICIN METHYL ESTER (3).-Dihydrogranaticin (250 mg) (29) was dis-solved in 1.5 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide and 1.5 ml of benzene. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (230 mg) and pyridinium trifluoroacetate (40 mg) were added with stirring, and the reaction was allowed to proceed at room temperature for one hour. The reaction was approximately 90% complete, as determined by the on oxalic acid treated silica gel plates in chloroform-ethyl acetate (60:40) (4), the major product having an R_1 of 0.30 and one minor product having an R_2 of 0.35. After 40 ml of athyl acetate were added to the colution disculatory were R_{f} of 0.35. After 40 ml of ethyl acetate were added to the solution, dicyclohexylurea was removed by filtration. The filtrate was washed three times with water; the first two aqueous washes were washed with ethyl acetate; and the ethyl acetate extract was washed twice with water. The combined ethyl acetate solutions were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in a minimum volume of chloroform and purified by gel with chloroform-ethyl acetate (4:1), and the solution was washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. The product was converted to its methyl ester as sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. The product was converted to its methyl ester as described for **2a**. One hundred forty-eight mg (58%) of pure 3 (mp 260-262°) were obtained. It gave an R_i of 0.52 on oxalic acid treated silica gel plates in chloroform-ethyl acetate (60:40); visible absorption, λ (log e): 554 nm (3.24), 518 (3.44), 490 (3.40); ir (KBr): 2.9 μ (OH), 5.6 (ester), 6.3 (quinone); ¹H-nmr (CDCl₃): δ 13.11, 12.60 (s, hydroquinone OH), 5.67 (dd, H-1', $J_{1:,2}$:= 2.0 and 3.1 Hz), 5.08 (q, H-15, $J_{15,16}$ =6.7 Hz), 4.96 (s, 4'-OH), 4.34 (m, H-3), 4.17 (q, H-5', $J_{5',6'}$ =6.0 Hz), 3.75 (s, ester CH₃), 3.3-2.2 (multiplets for CH₂-2', 2, 4), 1.58 (d, CH₃-16, $J_{15,16}$ =6.7 Hz), 1.08 (d, CH₃-6', $J_{5',6'}$ =6.0 Hz). Granaticin tetraacetate (**1c**) was prepared from **1a** as reported by Keller-Schierlein and envertex.

coworkers (16), and its identity was confirmed by comparison of its physical and spectral properties with the published data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Public Health Service through NIH Research Grant AI 11728. We thank Mr. John Kozlowski of this department for recording nmr spectra.

Received 11 May 1979.

LITERATURE CITED

- R. Carbaz, L. Ettlinger, J. Kalvoda, W. Keller-Schierlein, F. Kradolfer, B. K. Maunkian,
 L. Neipp, V. Prelog, P. Reusser and H. Zähner, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 40, 1262 (1957).
 S. Barcza, M. Brufani, W. Keller-Schierlein and H. Zähner, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 49, 1736 1.
- 2. (1966)
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- C.-j. Chang, H. G. Floss, P. Soong and C.-t. Chang, J. Antibiot., 28, 156 (1975).
 C. E. Snipes, C.-j. Chang and H. G. Floss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 701 (1979).
 J. Stothers, "Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy," Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1972.
 F. W. Wehrli and T. Wirthlin, "Interpretation of Carbon-13 NMR Spectra," Heyden & Son Ltd., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1978.
 C. L. Chang, J. B. Outbher, Ga. J. Chang, 70, 870 (1074). 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10. 11.
- Son Ltd., Finladelpina, Feinsylvania, 1978.
 S. H. Grover and J. B. Stothers, Can. J. Chem., 52, 870 (1974).
 S. H. Grover, D. H. Marr, J. B. Stothers and C. T. Turphan, Can. J. Chem., 53, 1351 (1975).
 O. Mascaretti, C.-j. Chang and H. G. Floss, J. Natural Products, 42, 000 (1979).
 H. Tanaka, Y. Koyama, T. Nagai, H. Marumo and S. Omura, J. Antibiot., 28, 868 (1975).
 R. Ernst, J. Chem. Phys., 45, 3845 (1966).
 H. J. Reich, M. Jautelat, M. T. Messe, F. J. Weigert and J. D. Roberts, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 12. **91**, 7445 (1969)
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- G. J. Chang, H. G. Floss and G. E. Peck, J. Med. Chem., 18, 505 (1975).
 M. Kobayashi, Y. Terui, K. Tori and N. Tsuji, Tetrahedron Lett., 619 (1976).
 J. Pyrek, O. Achmatowicz, Jr. and A. Zamojski, Tetrahedron, 33, 673 (1977).
 W. Keller-Schierlein, M. Brufani and S. Barcza, Helv. Chim. Acta, 51, 1257 (1968).
 A. Arnone, L. Camarda, R. Cardillo, G. Fronza, L. Merlini, R. Mondelli, G. Nasini and Lett. Research Lett. (2020). 17. J. St. Pyrek, Helv. Chim. Acta, 62, 30 (1979).